

Title: Creating a Geodatabase of Surgical Facilities in India

Authors: Siddhesh Zadey BSMS MSc-GH^{1,2}, Shalmali Satpute MBBS¹, Sweta Dubey MBBS¹, Tanmay Jadhav MBBS^{1,3}, Aleesha Joykuty MBBS Student^{1,4}, Sharvari Mande MBBS Student^{1,4}, Mallika Kulkarni MBBS Student¹, Aamir Miyajiwala BE Student^{1,5}, Aryan Patil BE Student^{1,5}, João Ricardo Nickenig Vissoci Ph.D.^{2,6}

Affiliations:

- 1 Association for Socially Applicable Research (ASAR), Pune, Maharashtra, India
- 2 Department of Surgery, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, United States
- 3 Mid Cheshire Hospitals NHS Trust, Cheshire, United Kingdom
- 4 Rajarshi Chhatrapati Shahu Maharaj Government Medical college and CPR hospital, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India
- 5 SCTR's Pune Institute of Computer Technology, Pune, Maharashtra, India
- 6 Duke Global Health Institute, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States

Conflicts of Interest: None

Background:

Surgical care access is known to be limited in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) including India. An important impediment is the time taken to reach the facility especially for people living in rural and remote areas. Analyzing timeliness to care depends on knowledge about which facilities provide surgeries and their location. We filled this gap by creating a geospatial database where health facilities tagged for surgical care provision.

Methods:

We assessed 9 health facility datasets present in the IndoHealMap project and selected 4 which had any direct or indirect information for the domains/specialties of services provided. These datasets included - National Health Profile (NHP) 2019, Central Government Health Service (CGHS) empanelled hospitals (as of October 2021), National Identification Number directory 2017, and Pradhan Mantri Jan Arogya Yojana (PMJAY) empanelled hospitals (as of January 2021). We included public, private, and trust-owned teaching hospitals from NHP, hospitals providing surgical, eye, specialty or multispecialty care from CGHS, dispensaries, community health centres, sub-district and district hospitals, <100, 100-500 and >500 bedded hospitals, civil/general hospitals, medical college hospitals, referral hospitals, maternity homes, postpartum units from NIN, and hospitals empanelled for at least one surgical package from PMJAY. For geocoding, addresses were cleaned and imputed manually for easier machine readability. We used Google Maps Platform application programming interface (API) and the 'Awesome Table' add-on for machine-based geocoding. Places without

geocodes were tried again manually in Google Maps. Erroneous points beyond the latitude-longitude box limits around India and duplicates (within and across datasets) based on the geo-coordinates similarity were removed. We compared manual (Google Maps) and machine (Awesome Table) generated geocodes for NHP for technical validation using Lin's concordance correlation coefficient (CCC).

Findings:

The geodatabase included 20802 surgical care facilities - 528 (100% of the source dataset) from NHP, 666 (66.7%) from CGHS, 10435 (5.4%) from NIN, and 12630 (54.7%) from PMJAY for surgical care provision. Most facilities from NHP and CGHS were located in urban areas while those from NIN and PMJAY were spread throughout the country. Validation showed perfect agreement between machine-based and manual geocoding (CCC > 0.99).

Interpretation:

The novel geodatabase will be publically available and will be pivotal in understanding facility distribution and timely access to surgical care. Synthesis of such data is important for the national surgical planning for India. Findings are limited by any errors in facility inclusion and geolocation.

Source of Funding:

None



Creating a Geodatabase of Surgical Facilities in India

Authors: Siddhesh Zadey^{1,2}, Sweta Dubey^{1,5}, Mallika Kulkarni^{1,3}, Shalmali Satpute¹, Tanmay Jadhav^{1,4}, Sharvari Mande^{1,5}, Aleesha Joykutty^{1,5}, Aamir Miyajiwala^{1,6}, Aryan Patil^{1,6}, João Ricardo Nickenig Vissoci^{2,7}


Affiliations: 1. Association for Socially Applicable Research (ASAR), Pune, Maharashtra, India. 2. Department of Surgery, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, United States. 3. SSR Medical College, Belle Rive, Mauritius. 4. Mid Cheshire Hospitals, Cheshire, United Kingdom. 5. RCMC Government Medical College, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India. 6. Pune Institute of Computer Technology, Pune, Maharashtra, India. 7. Duke Global Health Institute, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States

Background

- Surgical care access is known to be limited in low and middle income countries (LMICs) including India.
- An important impediment is a time taken to reach the facility especially for people living in rural and remote areas.
- Analysing timelines to care depends on knowledge about which facilities provide surgeries and their location.
- We filled this gap by creating a geospatial database where health facilities were tagged for surgical care provision.

Methods

- We assessed 9 health facility datasets present in the IndoHealMap project and selected 4 which had any direct or indirect information for the domains/specialities of services provided.
- We included public, private, and trust-owned teaching hospitals from NHP, hospitals providing surgical, eye, speciality or multispecialty care from CGHS, dispensaries, community health centres, sub-district and district hospitals, <100, 100-500 and >500 bedded hospitals, civil/general hospitals, medical college hospitals, referral hospitals, maternity homes, postpartum units from NIN, and hospitals empanelled for at least one surgical package from PMJAY.
- For geocoding, addresses were cleaned and imputed manually for easier machine readability, Google Maps Platform application programming interface (API) and the 'Awesome Table' add-on for machine-based geocoding. Places without geocodes were tried again manually in Google Maps.
- Comparison of manual (Google Maps) and machine (Awesome Table) generated geocodes for NHP for technical validation using Lin's concordance correlation coefficient (CCC).




Findings

- The geodatabase included 20802 surgical care facilities - 528 (100% of the source dataset) from NHP, 666 (66.7%) from CGHS, 10435 (5.4%) from NIN, and 12630 (54.7%) from PMJAY for surgical care provision.
- Most facilities from NHP and CGHS were located in urban areas while those from NIN and PMJAY were spread throughout the country.
- Validation showed perfect agreement between machine-based and manual geocoding (CCC > 0.99).

References and Acknowledgement

- Central Bureau of Health Intelligence (CBHI), National Health Profile, 2019
- ASAR, IndoHeal Map Project 2021



Interpretation

- The novel geodatabase will be publically available and will be pivotal in understanding facility distribution and timely access to surgical care. The synthesis of such data is important for the national surgical planning for India.
- Findings are limited by any errors in facility inclusion and geolocation.